Online Compliance Resource

GEORGIA CREDIT UNION



InfoSight Compliance eNEWSLETTER June 13, 2016 Vol. 10, Issue 24 Created in partnership with the



Credit Union National Association

Compliance Video

Compliance Connection Video

In this video, League InfoSight CEO Glory LeDu talks about the highlights from the 4th Quarter of 2018 and the 1st Quarter of 2019.

When S.2155, the Economic Growth, Regulatory Relief, and Consumer Protection Act, passed in 2018 there was a lot to understand! Glory LeDu, League InfoSight CEO, provides <u>Part 1 in this short</u> video to break it down for you.

Just a reminder that Compliance videos since 2016 can be found on YouTube at <u>the</u> <u>Compliance Connection</u> <u>channel</u>, where they are generally updated quarterly.

Compliance Calendar

InfoSight Highlight

Whistle Blower Laws

In response to a number of major accounting and financial scandals between 2000-2002, like Enron and Worldcom, Congress enacted corporate governance legislation, the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 ("the Act"). The vast majority of Sarbanes-Oxley governs companies that are publicly traded, therefore excluding credit unions from its coverage. The Act primarily deals with fraud in financial reporting, accounting, securities reforms, corporate governance, disclosures to stockholders, and whistleblower protection.

Although most of the act does not technically apply to credit unions, credit unions do need to be aware of Section 1107 of the Act, prohibiting criminal whistleblower retaliation. The prohibition applies to any individual or organization (not just publicly traded companies). Specifically, Section 1107 makes it a crime to "knowingly, with the intention to retaliate, take any action harmful to any person, including interference with the lawful employment or livelihood of any person, for providing to a law enforcement officer any truthful information relating to the commission or possible commission of any Federal offense."

Review the information today to help your credit union remain in compliance.

For additional information click <u>here</u> for the topic in InfoSight.

Compliance News

Police Reports and EFT Error Investigations

CUNA's Compliance Blog highlights the CUNA Mutual Group Risk Alert: "*Reg E Violations - Credit Unions Beware*" (12/09/11). The alert highlighted <u>a recent FDIC civil money penalty assessed against an</u> Indiana bank for violating Reg E by requiring account holders to provide a police report on claims of unauthorized use of debit/ATM cards. The penalty amount was \$82,500!

| The alert warns credit unions that they are at risk for violating Reg E's | |
|--|--|
| error resolution process by requiring members to provide a police report | June 30 |
| and/or affidavit for unauthorized electronic fund transfers: | PCI - SSL/TLS can no longer |
| | be used as a Security Control |
| "The allocation of liability between the member and credit union for | after this date |
| unauthorized EFTs is governed by Section 205.6 and is based on | |
| whether the member notified the credit union of the unauthorized | Child Support Data Match |
| transactions in a timely manner. The procedures for resolving errors are | |
| addressed in Section 205.11. Reg E does not allow credit unions to | |
| condition member rights under Sections 205.6 and 205.11 upon | July 4 |
| providing a police report and/or affidavit. Therefore, credit unions | Independence Day - Federal |
| violate Reg E by requiring members to provide a police report and/or | Holiday |
| affidavit for unauthorized EFTs (e.g., unauthorized use of debit/ATM | 5 |
| cards) as a condition for receiving provisional credit and, more | July 25 |
| importantly, in the determination of member liability. Credit unions may | 5300 Call Report Due to NCUA |
| request a police report and/or an affidavit but cannot require members | 1 |
| to provide one." | |
| | Click here for upcoming |
| The alert notes that CUNA Mutual Group does not require credit unions | compliance dates. |
| to provide police reports or affidavits when submitting documentation | |
| for claims impacted by Reg E that are filed under the Bond or Plastic | |
| Card Policies. (The alert also reminds credit unions that they can't | Compliance Training |
| deny a claim because of the member's negligence - such as writing a | |
| PIN on the back of an ATM/debit card). | June 14, 2016 |
| | |
| | Comparing Regulation E |
| CUNA's Compliance Department has also received additional questions, | Comparing Regulation E Requirements with Visa & |
| CUNA's Compliance Department has also received additional questions, such as: | Comparing Regulation E Requirements with Visa & MasterCard Rules - Webinar |
| such as: | Requirements with Visa & MasterCard Rules - Webinar 3:00 – 4:30 p.m. ET |
| such as:1. Is this risk alert correct? Yes it is. It has been the Federal Reserve | Requirements with Visa & MasterCard Rules - Webinar 3:00 – 4:30 p.m. ET |
| such as: 1. Is this risk alert correct? Yes it is. It has been the Federal Reserve Board's longstanding position that, since the Electronic Fund | Requirements with Visa & MasterCard Rules - Webinar 3:00 – 4:30 p.m. ET |
| such as: 1. Is this risk alert correct? Yes it is. It has been the Federal Reserve Board's longstanding position that, since the Electronic Fund Transfer Act grants the consumer error-resolution rights, the | Requirements with Visa & MasterCard Rules - Webinar 3:00 – 4:30 p.m. ET |
| such as: 1. Is this risk alert correct? Yes it is. It has been the Federal Reserve Board's longstanding position that, since the Electronic Fund Transfer Act grants the consumer error-resolution rights, the institution should avoid any "chilling effect" on the good-faith | Requirements with Visa & MasterCard Rules - Webinar 3:00 – 4:30 p.m. ET June 16, 2016 |
| such as: 1. Is this risk alert correct? Yes it is. It has been the Federal Reserve Board's longstanding position that, since the Electronic Fund Transfer Act grants the consumer error-resolution rights, the institution should avoid any "chilling effect" on the good-faith assertion of EFT "errors" (which includes unauthorized EFTs). | Requirements with Visa & MasterCard Rules - Webinar 3:00 – 4:30 p.m. ET June 16, 2016 ACH Rules for Deceased |
| such as: 1. Is this risk alert correct? Yes it is. It has been the Federal Reserve Board's longstanding position that, since the Electronic Fund Transfer Act grants the consumer error-resolution rights, the institution should avoid any "chilling effect" on the good-faith assertion of EFT "errors" (which includes unauthorized EFTs). And, it's quite doubtful that the CFPB would have a different | Requirements with Visa & MasterCard Rules - Webinar 3:00 – 4:30 p.m. ET June 16, 2016 ACH Rules for Deceased Accountholders & Federal |
| such as: 1. Is this risk alert correct? Yes it is. It has been the Federal Reserve Board's longstanding position that, since the Electronic Fund Transfer Act grants the consumer error-resolution rights, the institution should avoid any "chilling effect" on the good-faith assertion of EFT "errors" (which includes unauthorized EFTs). And, it's quite doubtful that the CFPB would have a different opinion on the matter. | Requirements with Visa & MasterCard Rules - Webinar 3:00 – 4:30 p.m. ET June 16, 2016 ACH Rules for Deceased Accountholders & Federal Government Payments - |
| such as: 1. Is this risk alert correct? Yes it is. It has been the Federal Reserve Board's longstanding position that, since the Electronic Fund Transfer Act grants the consumer error-resolution rights, the institution should avoid any "chilling effect" on the good-faith assertion of EFT "errors" (which includes unauthorized EFTs). And, it's quite doubtful that the CFPB would have a different opinion on the matter. 2. Since when? As far as I can tell, as long as there has been a Reg | Requirements with Visa & MasterCard Rules - Webinar 3:00 – 4:30 p.m. ET June 16, 2016 ACH Rules for Deceased Accountholders & Federal Government Payments - Webinar 3:00 – 4:30 p.m. ET |
| such as: 1. Is this risk alert correct? Yes it is. It has been the Federal Reserve Board's longstanding position that, since the Electronic Fund Transfer Act grants the consumer error-resolution rights, the institution should avoid any "chilling effect" on the good-faith assertion of EFT "errors" (which includes unauthorized EFTs). And, it's quite doubtful that the CFPB would have a different opinion on the matter. 2. Since when? As far as I can tell, as long as there has been a Reg E. | Requirements with Visa & MasterCard Rules - Webinar 3:00 – 4:30 p.m. ET June 16, 2016 <u>ACH Rules for Deceased</u> <u>Accountholders & Federal</u> <u>Government Payments</u> - Webinar 3:00 – 4:30 p.m. ET June 21, 2016 |
| such as: 1. Is this risk alert correct? Yes it is. It has been the Federal Reserve Board's longstanding position that, since the Electronic Fund Transfer Act grants the consumer error-resolution rights, the institution should avoid any "chilling effect" on the good-faith assertion of EFT "errors" (which includes unauthorized EFTs). And, it's quite doubtful that the CFPB would have a different opinion on the matter. 2. Since when? As far as I can tell, as long as there has been a Reg E. 3. Would the same rule apply to investigations of unauthorized | Requirements with Visa & <u>MasterCard Rules</u> - Webinar 3:00 – 4:30 p.m. ET June 16, 2016 <u>ACH Rules for Deceased</u> <u>Accountholders & Federal</u> <u>Government Payments</u> - Webinar 3:00 – 4:30 p.m. ET June 21, 2016 <u>The Growing Scope of Vendor</u> |
| such as: 1. Is this risk alert correct? Yes it is. It has been the Federal Reserve Board's longstanding position that, since the Electronic Fund Transfer Act grants the consumer error-resolution rights, the institution should avoid any "chilling effect" on the good-faith assertion of EFT "errors" (which includes unauthorized EFTs). And, it's quite doubtful that the CFPB would have a different opinion on the matter. 2. Since when? As far as I can tell, as long as there has been a Reg E. 3. Would the same rule apply to investigations of unauthorized ACH transactions too? Yes, since they're electronic fund | Requirements with Visa & MasterCard Rules - Webinar 3:00 – 4:30 p.m. ET June 16, 2016 ACH Rules for Deceased Accountholders & Federal Government Payments - Webinar 3:00 – 4:30 p.m. ET June 21, 2016 The Growing Scope of Vendor Management: Business |
| such as: 1. Is this risk alert correct? Yes it is. It has been the Federal Reserve Board's longstanding position that, since the Electronic Fund Transfer Act grants the consumer error-resolution rights, the institution should avoid any "chilling effect" on the good-faith assertion of EFT "errors" (which includes unauthorized EFTs). And, it's quite doubtful that the CFPB would have a different opinion on the matter. 2. Since when? As far as I can tell, as long as there has been a Reg E. 3. Would the same rule apply to investigations of unauthorized | Requirements with Visa & MasterCard Rules - Webinar 3:00 – 4:30 p.m. ET June 16, 2016 ACH Rules for Deceased Accountholders & Federal Government Payments - Webinar 3:00 – 4:30 p.m. ET June 21, 2016 The Growing Scope of Vendor Management: Business Continuity, Cyber Security, |
| such as: 1. Is this risk alert correct? Yes it is. It has been the Federal Reserve Board's longstanding position that, since the Electronic Fund Transfer Act grants the consumer error-resolution rights, the institution should avoid any "chilling effect" on the good-faith assertion of EFT "errors" (which includes unauthorized EFTs). And, it's quite doubtful that the CFPB would have a different opinion on the matter. 2. Since when? As far as I can tell, as long as there has been a Reg E. 3. Would the same rule apply to investigations of unauthorized ACH transactions too? Yes, since they're electronic fund transfers covered by Reg E. | Requirements with Visa & <u>MasterCard Rules</u> - Webinar 3:00 – 4:30 p.m. ET June 16, 2016 <u>ACH Rules for Deceased</u> <u>Accountholders & Federal</u> <u>Government Payments</u> - Webinar 3:00 – 4:30 p.m. ET June 21, 2016 <u>The Growing Scope of Vendor</u> <u>Management: Business</u> <u>Continuity, Cyber Security,</u> <u>Contract Negotiation & More</u> - |
| such as: Is this risk alert correct? Yes it is. It has been the Federal Reserve Board's longstanding position that, since the Electronic Fund Transfer Act grants the consumer error-resolution rights, the institution should avoid any "chilling effect" on the good-faith assertion of EFT "errors" (which includes unauthorized EFTs). And, it's quite doubtful that the CFPB would have a different opinion on the matter. Since when? As far as I can tell, as long as there has been a Reg E. Would the same rule apply to investigations of unauthorized ACH transactions too? Yes, since they're electronic fund transfers covered by Reg E. The credit union can ask the member to assist in its investigation of | Requirements with Visa & MasterCard Rules - Webinar 3:00 – 4:30 p.m. ET June 16, 2016 ACH Rules for Deceased Accountholders & Federal Government Payments - Webinar 3:00 – 4:30 p.m. ET June 21, 2016 The Growing Scope of Vendor Management: Business Continuity, Cyber Security, Contract Negotiation & More - Webinar |
| such as: 1. Is this risk alert correct? Yes it is. It has been the Federal Reserve Board's longstanding position that, since the Electronic Fund Transfer Act grants the consumer error-resolution rights, the institution should avoid any "chilling effect" on the good-faith assertion of EFT "errors" (which includes unauthorized EFTs). And, it's quite doubtful that the CFPB would have a different opinion on the matter. 2. Since when? As far as I can tell, as long as there has been a Reg E. 3. Would the same rule apply to investigations of unauthorized ACH transactions too? Yes, since they're electronic fund transfers covered by Reg E. The credit union can ask the member to assist in its investigation of an unauthorized electronic fund transfer (EFT). However, it cannot | Requirements with Visa & <u>MasterCard Rules</u> - Webinar 3:00 – 4:30 p.m. ET June 16, 2016 <u>ACH Rules for Deceased</u> <u>Accountholders & Federal</u> <u>Government Payments</u> - Webinar 3:00 – 4:30 p.m. ET June 21, 2016 <u>The Growing Scope of Vendor</u> <u>Management: Business</u> <u>Continuity, Cyber Security,</u> <u>Contract Negotiation & More</u> - |
| such as: Is this risk alert correct? Yes it is. It has been the Federal Reserve Board's longstanding position that, since the Electronic Fund Transfer Act grants the consumer error-resolution rights, the institution should avoid any "chilling effect" on the good-faith assertion of EFT "errors" (which includes unauthorized EFTs). And, it's quite doubtful that the CFPB would have a different opinion on the matter. Since when? As far as I can tell, as long as there has been a Reg E. Would the same rule apply to investigations of unauthorized ACH transactions too? Yes, since they're electronic fund transfers covered by Reg E. The credit union can ask the member to assist in its investigation of an unauthorized electronic fund transfer (EFT). However, it cannot place a condition (like requiring a police report, notarized affidavit or statement) before investigations the alleged error as marrieling. | Requirements with Visa & <u>MasterCard Rules</u> - Webinar 3:00 – 4:30 p.m. ET June 16, 2016 <u>ACH Rules for Deceased</u> <u>Accountholders & Federal</u> <u>Government Payments</u> - Webinar 3:00 – 4:30 p.m. ET June 21, 2016 <u>The Growing Scope of Vendor</u> <u>Management: Business</u> <u>Continuity, Cyber Security,</u> <u>Contract Negotiation & More</u> - Webinar 3:00 – 4:30 p.m. ET |
| such as: Is this risk alert correct? Yes it is. It has been the Federal Reserve Board's longstanding position that, since the Electronic Fund Transfer Act grants the consumer error-resolution rights, the institution should avoid any "chilling effect" on the good-faith assertion of EFT "errors" (which includes unauthorized EFTs). And, it's quite doubtful that the CFPB would have a different opinion on the matter. Since when? As far as I can tell, as long as there has been a Reg E. Would the same rule apply to investigations of unauthorized ACH transactions too? Yes, since they're electronic fund transfers covered by Reg E. The credit union can ask the member to assist in its investigation of an unauthorized electronic fund transfer (EFT). However, it cannot place a condition (like requiring a police report, notarized affidavit or statement) before investigations the alleged evens an providing. | Requirements with Visa & MasterCard Rules - Webinar 3:00 – 4:30 p.m. ET June 16, 2016 ACH Rules for Deceased Accountholders & Federal Government Payments - Webinar 3:00 – 4:30 p.m. ET June 21, 2016 The Growing Scope of Vendor Management: Business Continuity, Cyber Security, Contract Negotiation & More - Webinar |

or investigation fees for EFT errors. (See Q&A #2 in the CUNA March Modifying Existing Product 2007 Compliance Challenge, available here.) Terms & Fees, Including Timing, Content & What can the credit union do? Section 205.11(b)(2) of Regulation E Requirements for Change-inallows the credit union to require a "written confirmation" of error from Term Notices - Webinar a consumer as part of the error resolution process, within 10 business 3:00 – 4:30 p.m. ET days of an oral notice of error. If the credit union elects to require the member to put the notice of error in writing, then the credit union must June 23, 2016 inform the member of the written requirement and provide the address Legal Aspects of HELOCs: where written confirmation of error must be sent when the consumer Disclosures, Advertising, gives the oral notification. Termination, Credit Line Reduction & More - Webinar Bottom line: Take a look at the credit union's current policies and 3:00 – 4:30 p.m. ET procedures to make sure your process for resolving EFT errors complies with Reg E. June 23, 2016 Telephone Consumer Protection Act: Complying with the Recent Ruling – CUNA How to Prepare for Customer Due Diligence Rule Webinar With a May 2018 compliance date for the Treasury's new customer due 12:00 – 1:15 ET diligence (CDD) rule, credit unions may be tempted to set it aside and focus on current compliance issues. But credit unions should use this June 26 – 29, 2016 time well, according to Colleen Kelly, Senior Federal Compliance America's Credit Union Counsel with CUNA, because it's never too early to determine Conference what changes need to be made to policies, procedures and software Seattle. WA systems. June 27. 2016 The Treasury's Financial Crimes Enforcement Network (FinCEN) Developing a Sound Appraisal finalized this change to the Anti-Money Laundering/Bank Secrecy Act Management Program -(AML/BSA) rules last month, which would clarify and strengthen CDD Webinar obligations of financial institutions, including credit unions. 3:00 – 4:30 p.m. ET When it comes to AML/BSA procedures, credit unions should look at June 29, 2016 updating: Understanding FCRA Permissible Purposes in Internal controls; Obtaining Credit Reports -٠ Webinar Independent testing procedures; and 3:00 – 4:30 p.m. ET Risk-assessment procedures. June 30, 2016 Compliance Officer Training: According to Kelly, credit unions should also begin to consider how Risk Assessments, Monitoring they will: & Testing - Webinar 3:00 – 4:30 p.m. ET Ensure their third-party processor is aware of the new • information and monitoring requirements and will have the credit

| | <u> </u> |
|--|--|
| union's system updated no later than May 11, 2018; | July 7, 2016 |
| | Your Borrower Has Died: |
| • Determine how the credit union will identify the beneficial | Actions to Take, Mistakes to |
| owners of any legal entity accounts and talk to their processors to | Avoid - Webinar |
| see whether the certification form provided in the regulation can | 3:00 – 4:30 p.m. ET |
| be incorporated into an electronic records system; | F |
| | July 10-13, 2016 |
| • Update account opening procedures to include the identification | Southeast Regional Directors' |
| of the beneficial owners of business accounts and any other | |
| • | Conference |
| "legal entity" accounts; | Pinehurst, NC |
| Lindata Mambar Idantification Dragram (MID) proceedings to | |
| • Update Member Identification Program (MIP) procedures to | July 11, 2016 |
| include the methods that will be used to verify beneficial owners | New Overtime Rule Critical |
| of legal entity accounts; and | Budgeting Issues - Webinar |
| | 3:00 – 4:30 ET |
| • Ensure that all appropriate personnel are trained on the new | |
| policies and procedures developed to meet the compliance | July 12, 2016 |
| requirements of this new rule. | Board Oversight & |
| | Responsibility for ACH Risk - |
| | Webinar |
| | 3:00 – 4:30 p.m. ET |
| CFPB Proposes Rule to End Payday Debt Traps | |
| The Consumer Financial Protection Bureau recently <u>announced</u> its | July 13, 2016 |
| anticipated proposed rule [PDF, 1334 pages] that would require lenders | Manufactured & Mobile Home |
| who offer covered loan products to determine whether borrowers can | |
| afford the full amount of each payment when it is due without having to | Lending Standards & Eligibility Wabingr |
| reborrow within the next month and limit lenders' ability to roll such | Eligibility - Webinar |
| | 3:00 - 4:30 p.m. ET |
| debt over. Covered loans would include payday loans, single-payment | |
| auto title loans and certain high-cost installment loans (those with an | July 14, 2016 |
| "all-in" APR over 36 percent to be repaid by creditor access to the | Call Report Preparation for |
| consumer's deposit account or paycheck or secured by a vehicle title). | New Preparers & Reviewers - |
| | Webinar |
| Under the proposal, loans of \$500 or less could be offered with a | 3:00 – 4:30 p.m. ET |
| "principal reduction feature" designed to keep consumers from being | |
| trapped in debt. Lenders could also offer two longer-term loan options | July 18, 2016 |
| with more flexible underwriting by adhering to certain limits, such as | New FFIEC Guidance on |
| loans generally meeting the parameters of the NCUA's "payday | Mobile Financial Services - |
| alternative loans" program or loans payable in equal installments with | Webinar |
| terms not over two years with an all-in cost of 36 percent or less, plus a | 3:00 – 4:30 p.m. ET |
| reasonable origination fee. | |
| | July 19, 2016 |
| Another provision of the proposal would require a notice in advance of a | Collection Concepts |
| lender's attempt to collect a payment on a covered loan from the | |
| consumer's deposit account, and cut off a lender's authorization for such | Compliance & Risks - |
| consumer s deposit decount, and cut off a fender s authorization for such | |
| | 3:00 – 4:30 p.m. ET |
| | |

| account access after two unsuccessful attempts, unless the lender obtains | July 20, 2016 |
|--|--|
| a new authorization. | Analyzing & Managing Escrow |
| | Accounts - Webinar |
| • <u>Fact sheet</u> on proposed rule | 3:00 - 4:30 p.m. ET |
| | |
| The Bureau also announced an inquiry into other potentially high-risk | July 21, 2016 |
| loan products and practices that are not specifically covered by the | Same Day ACH Deadline - |
| proposed rule. A <u>Request for Information</u> was issued with a comment | Webinar |
| deadline of September 14, 2016. Also released was the text of <u>CFPB</u> | 3:00 – 4:30 p.m. ET |
| Director Cordray's prepared remarks introducing the proposal and | |
| inquiry at the Field Hearing on Small-Dollar Lending, held on June 2, | July 26, 2016 |
| 2016 by the Bureau in Kansas City, Missouri. | Risks & Precautions for |
| | Endorsements & Other |
| | Negotiable Instruments - |
| | Webinar |
| Your CU Should Know | 3:00 – 4:30 ET |
| NCUA Free Webinar - Military Lending Act: The National Credit | 5.00 - 7.00 L I |
| Union Administration will host a webinar, "Preparing to Comply with | July 27, 2016 |
| Regulatory Changes to the Military Lending Act," on Wednesday, June | Understanding Letters of |
| 29, starting at 2 p.m. Eastern. | Credit: Rules, Responsibilities |
| 2), starting at 2 p.m. Eastern. | & Liabilities - Webinar |
| During this webinar, staff from NCUA's Office of Consumer Protection | |
| will provide a high-level overview of the significant changes to the | 5.00 - 4.30 E I |
| regulation implementing the Military Lending Act, most of which go | July 28, 2016 |
| into effect by Oct. 3. The law now covers most non-mortgage-related | Overdraft Outlook: Litigation |
| consumer credit extended to active duty service members and certain | |
| dependents. The webinar will review topics like: | Lessons, Avoiding Violations & Best Practices - Webinar |
| dependents. The webmar will review topics like. | <u>3:00 – 4:30 ET</u> |
| • Transactions the rule covers, including credit card accounts; | 5:00 - 4:50 E1 |
| Who is covered under the rule's protections; | August 3, 2016 |
| How to determine who is a covered borrower; and | Product Terms Part 2: |
| | Establishing New Product & |
| loans. | Service Controls - Webinar |
| | $\frac{3:00 - 4:30 \text{ ET}}{3:00 - 4:30 \text{ ET}}$ |
| Online registration for this 90-minute webinar is now open here. | 5.00 - 4.30 E I |
| Participants will use this same link to log into the webinar. Registrants | August 4, 2016 |
| should allow pop-ups from this website. There is no charge for | August 4, 2016 |
| participating in this webinar. | 15 Errors to Avoid When |
| | <u>Conducting Internal</u> Investigations - Webinar |
| Prior to the webinar, participants are encouraged to review two NCUA | 3:00 – 4:30 ET |
| Regulatory Alerts issued in March 2016 and October 2015. These alerts | 5:00 - 4:50 E1 |
| explain the scope of the new rules, the safe harbors available to credit | August 0, 2016 |
| unions and other details to help bring credit unions into compliance | August 9, 2016 |
| | E-Mail Risks, Rules, Records |
| before the rule 5 effective date. They also provide information about the | & Regulations - Webinar |
| | 3:00 – 4:30 ET |
| | |

| | Legally Handling ATM & Debit Card Claims Under |
|--|--|
| at <u>WebinarQuestions@ncua.gov</u> . The subject line of the email should read, "MLA Regulatory Amendments." Participants with technical | <u>Regulation E</u> - Webinar 3:00 – 4:30 ET |
| email <u>audience.support@on24.com</u> . NCUA will closed caption and then archive the webinar online approximately three weeks following the live event. | |
| Overtime Pay Rule Is In and the Clock Is Ticking: On May 18, 2016, the Department of Labor published the final ruling for overtime pay, which states that exempt employees, who meet the duties requirement and make less than \$47,476 (\$913 per week), will need to be paid overtime rates if overtime hours are worked. Credit unions must comply with the rule by December 1, 2016 to minimize risks, fines, and potential retaliation claims. | |
| FTC Charges Precious Metals Scheme With Fraud: The Federal Trade Commission has charged a nationally advertised gold and silver marketing operation with bilking millions from consumers. The FTC seeks to recover money from the defendants to return to their customers, many of whom allegedly lost thousands of dollars to the scheme. According to the <u>FTC's complaint</u> , the defendants marketed gold and silver as investments, but often failed to deliver the goods. Operating as Discount Gold Brokers, the defendants offered gold and silver "at discounted prices," with "zero commissions, fees, or expenses," and at "zero percent above dealer cost." In response, many consumers used their retirement savings to buy the precious metals, with individual orders ranging from \$1,000 to \$300,000. The complaint alleges that the defendants required up-front payment via check or wire and some consumers used their family trust or retirement accounts to pay. | |
| The defendants – DiscountMetalBrokers Inc. (formerly known as Discount Gold Brokers Inc. and Discount Metal Brokers Inc., and doing business as Discount Gold Brokers and North American Discount Gold.com); Donald Lee Dayer; Katherina Dayer; and Michael Scott Berman – are charged with violating the FTC Act and the FTC's Mail, | |
| Internet or Telephone Order Merchandise Rule, which requires sellers soliciting orders via mail, internet, or phone to have a reasonable basis to expect that they can ship merchandise within any advertised time frame, or within 30 days if no specific time frame is promised. | |
| Former Wells Employee Pays \$85,000 CMP: The Consumer Financial Protection Bureau has <u>announced</u> the filing of an administration consent | |

order against a former Wells Fargo employee for an illegal mortgage fee-shifting scheme. The CFPB found that David Eghbali referred a substantial number of loan closings to a single escrow company, which shifted its fees from some customers to others at Eghbali's request. Eghbali could then manipulate loan costs and ultimately increase the number of loans he closed, increasing his commissions. The <u>consent</u> <u>order</u> requires Eghbali to pay an \$85,000 civil money penalty and bans him from working in the mortgage industry for one year.

Bureau Updates eRegulations to Include Regs C, X, and DD: The CFPB has <u>announced</u> the update of its <u>eRegulations platform</u> by adding Regulations C (HMDA), X (RESPA), and DD (TISA). In addition, the Regulation Z eRegulation has been updated to include all amendments through May 2016.

Comment Calls

CFPB Arbitration Rule

The Consumer Financial Protection Bureau (CFPB) is proposing sweeping changes to the use of pre-dispute arbitration clauses. Congress directed the CFPB to study pre-dispute arbitration agreements in the Dodd-Frank Wall Street Reform and Consumer Protection Act (Dodd-Frank Act). In 2015, the CFPB published and delivered to Congress a <u>study of arbitration</u>. In the Dodd-Frank Act, Congress also authorized the Bureau, after completing the study to issue regulations restricting or prohibiting the use of arbitration agreements. Despite conflicting findings in the study, some of which show that consumers receive little or no relief from class action litigation, and that there are benefits to arbitration, the CFPB is moving forward with a rulemaking, which eliminates the use of class action waivers in mandatory arbitration agreements. In October 2015, the CFPB published Small Business Regulatory Enforcement Fairness Act (SBREFA) proposals in conjunction with a <u>Small Business Review panel</u>.

GCUA would like to know your thoughts and/or concerns with this proposal. Please email Selina Gambrell at <u>selinag@gcua.org</u> by **August 2nd.**

The <u>CUNA Advocacy Update</u> keeps you on top of the most important changes in Washington for credit unions – and what CUNA is doing to monitor, analyze, and influence government agencies and federal law. You can view the current report and past reports from the archive.

Click <u>here</u> to request to be added to the mailing list for this and/or other GCUA email publications.

Bookmark InfoSight No need to go through the Georgia Credit Union Affiliate's home page to access InfoSight. Simply add the following link to your bookmarks: <u>http://ga.leagueinfosight.com/</u>.

Need a BSA, ACH or Website review? Email <u>compliance@gcua.org</u>.